Sulfate-reducing bacteria influence the nucleation and growth of mackinawite and greigite
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Abstract

Sedimentary iron sulfide minerals play a key role in maintaining the oxygenation of Earth’s atmosphere over geological timescales; they also record critical geochemical information that can be used to reconstruct paleo-environments. On modern Earth, sedimentary iron sulfide mineral formation takes place in low-temperature environments and requires the production of free sulfide by sulfate-reducing microorganisms (SRM) under anoxic conditions. Yet, most of our knowledge on the properties and formation pathways of iron sulfide minerals, including pyrite, derives from experimental studies performed in abiotic conditions, and as such the role of biotic processes in the formation of sedimentary iron sulfide minerals is poorly understood. Here we investigate the role of SRM in the nucleation and growth of iron sulfide minerals in laboratory experiments. We set out to test the hypothesis that SRM can influence Fe-S mineralization in ways other than providing sulfide through the comparison of the physical properties of iron sulfide minerals precipitated in the presence and in the absence of the sulfate-reducing bacterium Desulfovibrio hydrothermalis AM13 under well-controlled conditions. X-ray diffraction and microscopy analyses reveal that iron sulfide minerals produced in the presence of SRM exhibit unique morphology and aggregate differently than abiotic minerals formed in media without cells. Specifically, mackinawite growth is favored in the presence of both live and dead SRM, when compared to the abiotic treatments tested. The cell surface of live and dead SRM, and the extracellular polymers produced by live cells, provide templates for the nucleation of mackinawite and favor mineral growth. The morphology of minerals is however different when live and dead cells are provided. The transformation of greigite from mackinawite occurred after several months of incubation only in the presence of live SRM, suggesting that SRM might accelerate the kinetics of greigite formation under strict anoxic conditions. Pyrite formation was not observed in any experiments. While SRM provide nearly all the sulfide to the Fe-S system at low temperatures, we also posit that SRM play an additional formative role in the size, morphology and potentially the mineralogy of iron sulfide minerals in sedimentary environments, therefore potentially influencing their reactivity. Attempting to reconstruct modern and ancient biogeochemical cycles based on the geochemistry of iron sulfide minerals formed under purely abiotic conditions should be therefore done with caution.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Iron sulfide minerals play a major role in controlling the redox chemistry of the atmosphere (Garrels and Perry, 1974; Berner and Raiswell, 1983; Canfield, 2001). The oxygenation of the Earth’s surface has occurred over geologic times...
through the removal of reduced iron and sulfur into iron sulfide minerals in sedimentary environments. The metastable solid phases mackinawite (FeS) and greigite (Fe₃S₄) are rarely preserved in the sedimentary rock record, but they potentially play an important role as reactants in modern Fe and S biogeochemical cycles (Berner, 1970; Schoonen, 2004; Rickard, 2012a). Pyrite (FeS₂) is the most abundant and stable sulfide mineral at the surface of the Earth (Rickard, 2012c). The trace element content of pyrite reflects the composition of the fluids in which it formed (e.g., Huerta-Diaz and Morse, 1990; Large et al., 2014; Gregory et al., 2015a,b, 2016) and as a result, the composition of sedimentary pyrite is one key to determining the chemistry of paleo-environments. The morphology, texture and sulfur isotopic composition of sedimentary pyrite also record the interplay among the biotic and abiotic components of the global geochemical sulfur cycle in modern and ancient times (e.g., Wilkin et al., 1997; Schieber, 2002; Wacey et al., 2015; Fike et al., 2015; Gregory et al., 2015a,b, 2016). Pyrite formation in natural environments is still debated (Schoonen, 2004; Rickard, 2012c). A critical gap in understanding the nature and history of sedimentary sulfide mineral phases is that the knowledge about formation pathways is based on inorganic experimental systems (Schoonen and Barnes, 1991; Rickard, 1995; Wang and Morse, 1996; Rickard and Luther, 1997; Benning et al., 2000; Butler et al., 2004; Rickard and Luther, 2007). Notably, the ubiquitous presence of microorganisms in sedimentary environments where iron sulfide mineral formation takes place is rarely taken into account when experimentally testing the formation pathways of iron sulfide minerals.

Free sulfide in low-temperature sedimentary environments is produced by sulfate-reducing microorganisms (SRM) (Rickard, 2012b). Although it is straightforward to produce pyrite in the laboratory under abiotic conditions (Rickard and Luther, 2007), pyrite formation in the laboratory does not seem to occur readily in the presence of SRM and has been reported only in two instances (Rickard, 1969; Donald and Southam, 1999). Mackinawite and greigite are commonly reported in SRM cultures (Rickard, 1969; Herbert Jr et al., 1998; Benning et al., 1999; Watson et al., 2000; Williams et al., 2005; Gramp et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2014). Rickard et al. (2001) suggested that the presence of organic substances in experimental systems could inhibit pyrite formation, and produce greigite instead. It was originally suggested that the physical characteristics of iron sulfide minerals formed in the presence of SRM do not differ from abiotic minerals (Rickard, 1969); however, this study was likely limited by the technology available at time. The physical and chemical characteristics (i.e. crystal size, morphology, texture, solubility) of the minerals formed in the presence of SRM have not been thoroughly investigated (Picard et al., 2016a). These characteristics matter in sedimentary environments as they influence mineral reactivity and further transformations. Additionally, the presence of iron sulfide minerals forming at the surface of microbial cells in several experimental studies (Fortin et al., 1994; Donald and Southam, 1999; Watson et al., 2000; Williams et al., 2005) and in natural environments (Ferris et al., 1987) questions the role of extracellular and epicellular iron sulfide minerals for microorganisms, and whether sulfide mineral encrustation could be beneficial to microorganisms, as protection against external stress, for example. So far, mechanisms by which microbial cells become encrusted and the role of cell encrustation have not been thoroughly explored (Picard et al., 2016a).

Biomineralization is rarely a controlled process in prokaryotic organisms, i.e. bacteria and archaea (Lowenstam, 1981; Mann, 2001). The formation of intracellular iron sulfide minerals in groups of magnetotactict bacteria is one of a few occurrences of a genetically mediated microbial mineral formation (Lefèvre and Bazylinski, 2013). These microorganisms precipitate intracellular greigite crystals, surrounded by a membrane, with a unique morphology and composition (Bazylinski and Frankel, 2003; Posfai et al., 2013). However, it is unlikely that iron sulfide minerals produced through this pathway reach quantifiable amounts of the iron sulfide mineral content in sedimentary environments (Posfai and Dunin-Borkowski, 2006; Rickard, 2012b). The bulk of sedimentary iron sulfide minerals might thus form as a result of biologically induced mineralization, in which case minerals nucleate and grow under the influence of microbial metabolic products, but rarely display specific morphologies or compositions (Frankel and Bazylinski, 2003; Posfai and Dunin-Borkowski, 2006). As microbial cell surfaces provide sites for metal binding and mineral nucleation and growth, a microbial effect can nonetheless be recorded in biominerals (Beveridge et al., 1983; Ferris et al., 1987; Beveridge, 1989; Fortin et al., 1997). To isolate the effects of biology from those of abiotic environmental parameters, it is essential to strictly control experimental procedures. This starts with following well established protocols that ensure anoxic and sterile conditions in experimental systems (Widdel and Bak, 1992) and using appropriate abiotic control experiments to thoroughly compare biologically-influenced and abiotic minerals. Finally, the physical, chemical and crystallographic properties of iron sulfide biominerals should be resolved by an interpretation of bulk and high-resolution methods (Picard et al., 2016a).
compounds to provide a template for the nucleation and growth of iron sulfide minerals. We present experimental evidence that sulfate-reducing microorganisms play a larger role in the transformations of Fe-S solid phases in sedimentary environments than previously assumed, including exerting an influence on the size and mineralogy of the resultant iron sulfides, which likely influences their reactivity and the resultant rock record.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

All experiments were prepared and sampled in an anaerobic vinyl chamber (Coy Laboratory Products Inc). Samples were left to dry in the chamber as needed and transported to analytical instruments in air-tight containers to prevent contact with atmospheric oxygen. The glassware used in this study was combusted at 550 °C, washed with 1N HCl, rinsed with ultrapure water and autoclaved. Rubber stoppers were boiled three times in ultrapure water and autoclaved.

2.1. Microbial culture and medium preparation

The sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio hydrothermals* AM13 was acquired from the German culture collection DSMZ (DSM 14728). *D. hydrothermals* AM13 has an optimal temperature for growth of 35 °C and is an incomplete lactate oxidizer (Alazard et al., 2003). All experiments were performed in an anoxic marine medium that was prepared with solutions sterilized separately (DSMZ 195c medium recipe) (Widdel and Bak, 1992). The mineral solution – prepared in sterile anoxic water. Large crystals of sodium sulfate (Na2SO4, Spectrum) were washed, weighed and autoclaved. A bicarbonate buffer solution was prepared with 5 g of NaHCO3 in 50 ml of medium. The final concentration of about 900 mM. The sodium sulfide solution was dissolved in anoxic ultrapure water to reach a concentration of Fe(II) chosen so that sulfide would be in excess to iron in all experiments and the Fe:S ratio would be ~1:2. The iron chloride powder (FeCl2·4 H2O, >99%, Sigma Aldrich) was kept in the anaerobic chamber upon reception after purchase. It was briefly taken out of the anaerobic chamber to be reweighed and was then dissolved in anoxic water prepared as follows: ultrapure water was boiled to allow degassing of oxygen then cooled down under nitrogen gas. When needed anoxic water was made sterile by autoclaving. The solution of FeCl2 was prepared and filtered (0.22 µm) in the anaerobic chamber into a sterile serum vial subsequently sealed with a butyl rubber stopper and an aluminum cap. No sign of oxidation was observed in the FeCl2 solution over the course of the project. The sodium sulfide solution used for biomineralization experiments was prepared in sterile anoxic water. Large crystals of sodium sulfide (Na2S·9 H2O, Spectrum) were washed, weighted and dissolved in anoxic ultrapure water to reach a concentration of about 900 mM. The sodium sulfide solution was transferred to a serum vial sealed with a butyl rubber stopper and an aluminum caps.

2.2. Iron sulfide mineralization experiments

The experimental conditions are summarized in Fig. S1 and Table 1. In biotic Fe experiments, stationary-phase cells of *Desulfovibrio hydrothermals* AM13 were inoculated in 50 ml of Fe medium at two initial cell densities: ~10^6 cells·mL⁻¹ (low density) and ~10^8 cells·mL⁻¹ (high density). In these conditions, cells were in contact with Fe(II) before sulfide was produced. For low-density cultures, cells harvested from 200 µl of a stock culture in stationary phase were inoculated in 50 ml of Fe medium. These cultures represented “growth” conditions, as the final cell concentration increased to ~10^8 cells·mL⁻¹ in stationary phase (Table 2). For high-density cultures, cells from a whole 50-ml stock culture were harvested by centrifugation (4500 rpm, 20 min), washed with medium to remove residual H2S, and used to inoculate 50 ml of Fe medium. These cultures represented “non-growth” conditions, as the initial cell concentration was that of the maximal concentration reached in the stationary phase. For both initial cell densities, approximately the same amount of H2S was produced, and only small differences were observed in terms of sulfide production rate (Table 2). The maximum amount of sulfide produced (or sulfate reduced) was limited by the amount of lactate available in the medium. To compare the effect of biogenic sulfide versus commercial sodium sulfide, iron sulfide minerals were precipitated by adding Fe(II) to a stationary-phase culture of AM13 grown in the medium...
without Fe(II) addition (biotic \( H_2S + Fe \), Table 1). In these conditions, microbial cells were not in contact with Fe(II) before sulfide was produced.

Abiotic Fe experiments were performed without any microbial cells in the sterile Fe medium that contained lactate, to remain consistent with the biotic experiments. Sodium sulfide (Na\(_2\)S) was added at final concentrations comparable to those measured in biotic Fe experiments (Figs. 1 and S2a, Table 2), to obtain a similar Fe:S ratio at the end of the experiment (Table 2, Fig. S2c). To test if the rate of sulfide production influenced the outcome of the experiments, Na\(_2\)S was added either at a slow rate (once daily over 6 days) or at a fast rate, (in one time; Fig. 1b). The overall sulfide addition rate for slow rate experiments was calculated using a linear regression from the curves shown in Fig. 1b (Fig. S2b). The range of sulfide addition rates in abiotic experiments thus encompassed biological rates. Abiotic Fe experiments were also performed in the medium supplemented with acetate, to simulate for the presence of a metabolic product derived from lactate oxidation.

Dead-control (biotic Fe-dead) experiments were also performed to assess the availability of microbial cell surfaces affected the formation of iron sulfide minerals. A culture of cells grown to stationary phase (~10\(^8\) cells/ml) in the growth medium (contained in a sealed serum vial) was placed near a \( ^{137}\)Cs (radioactive cesium) gamma-ray source with an irradiation rate of 2 Gy min\(^{-1}\) for 48–72 h. After \( \gamma \)-irradiation, cells from the whole 50 ml culture were recovered, washed, and re-suspended in 50 ml of Fe medium. Cells appeared intact under the microscope but were inactivated (i.e. did not produce sulfide when inoculated in the medium). Biotic Fe-dead experiments were incubated at 35 °C for 2 weeks in the Fe medium and were then treated as abiotic experiments, adding Na\(_2\)S at slow and fast rates, as described above.

Samples were taken from experiments after ~1 week, and after 1–11 months, and prepared for chemical analyses, XRD and microscopy.

### 2.3. Chemical analyses, spectrophotometry and cell counts

Samples for spectrophotometric measurements of sulfate, sulfide and ferrous iron were taken from serum vials using sterile syringes and needles in the anaerobic chamber. Chemical analyses were performed either on whole samples or on the liquid phase after minerals were removed via centrifugation. The liquid phase was recovered after centrifugation of whole samples contained in 2-ml centrifuge tubes (14,000 rpm, 15 min). Sulfate was quantified immediately in the liquid phase (100 \( \mu \)l) using the barium sulfate assay described in Kolmert et al. (2000). The sulfide quantification was carried out immediately on whole and liquid-phase samples fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) at room temperature for 2 h. Samples for spectrophotometric measurements were carried out using an Agilent\textsuperscript{TM} Cary 100 spectrophotometer.

Whole samples (10 ml) were collected in plastic tubes in the anaerobic chamber for pH measurements. pH was quickly measured after taking the samples out of the anaerobic chamber using a double junction pH electrode (Sensorex S200CD).

1-ml samples were collected for cell counts in small centrifuge tubes and chemically fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) at room temperature for 2 h. Samples were centrifuged (14,000 rpm, 15 min), rinsed and re-suspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution and then deposited on a Nuclepore...
Track-Etch 0.22-μm membrane filter (Whatman). Cells were stained with SYBR® Green on filters, and counted using a Zeiss Axio Scope.A1 microscope with a 100x oil immersion lens (EC Plan-NEOFLUAR, Zeiss).

2.4. Preparation of samples for physical and mineralogical characterization of solid phases

For the determination of crystal structures by X-ray diffraction (XRD), solids from whole experiments (50 ml) were recovered by centrifugation at 4500 rpm in 50-ml centrifuge tubes, rinsed with anoxic water and dried in small tubes left open in the anaerobic chamber. It took about 24 h for the solid phases to dry completely. For electron microscopy, solid phases were recovered by centrifugation in small centrifuge tubes (14,000 rpm, 15 min), rinsed and resuspended in anoxic water. For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), the solids were dried on a small chip broken off a Si wafer in the anaerobic chamber. The Si chip was then fixed onto an aluminum stub using double-sided carbon tape. For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), particulates were re-suspended in sterile anoxic water. A drop of suspension was deposited on the support film of pure carbon (5–6 nm) of 200-mesh Cu TEM grids (CFT-200-Cu, Electron Microscopy Sciences), and after a few seconds, excess water was removed using filter paper. The grids were prepared just before using the microscope.

To preserve cells and their potential association with minerals, chemical fixation was performed in the anaerobic chamber using fresh solutions of glutaraldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences). After fixation, the rest of the procedures was performed outside of the anaerobic chamber. For SEM, 1-ml samples were collected from stock cultures (grown without Fe), biotic Fe and biotic Fe-dead experiments and fixed overnight in the anaerobic chamber with 2.5% glutaraldehyde. A few drops of culture were deposited on a glass coverslip previously coated with poly-L-lysine (Cultrex) to enhance cell attachment. Cells were rinsed with PBS solution, dehydrated serially with ethanol solutions with concentrations up to 100% and critical-point dried (Autosamdri/C210-931.GL, tousimis/C210). The glass coverslip was then mounted on an aluminum stub using double-sided carbon tape and the whole sample was sputter-coated with 10 nm of Pt:Pd (80:20) (Q300T D sputtering system, Quorum Technologies). For TEM, pellets of cells and cells + minerals were recovered from 50-ml cultures and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde overnight in the anaerobic chamber. Pellets were rinsed with ultrapure water, dehydrated with ethanol as described above, and embedded in a hard resin (EMbed 812 resin, Electron Microscopy Sciences). After hardening of the resin at 60°C for 3 days, 80-nm thin sections were cut with a Leica Ultracut UCT.

2.5. Powder X-ray diffraction

Powder X-ray diffraction data were acquired using Cu Kα radiation (30 mV, 10 mA) using a Bruker D2 Phaser in the X-ray laboratory of the Department of Chemistry.
and Chemical Biology at Harvard University. Immediately prior to analyzed, the dried samples were removed from the air-tight transport jar, homogenized with 200-proof ethanol using a mortar and pestle and mounted on a zero-diffraction silicon wafer. The bulk XRD signal of dried mackinawite does not show reflections of oxidation species before 7 days in the air (Boursiquot et al., 2001). Our analyses also confirmed that the preparation time of our samples in the air did not affect the mineralogy of the bulk samples. X-ray diffractograms were acquired at 2θ angles from 10° to 60° with increment steps of 0.05° and a scan speed of 2 s. XRD data were processed (background subtraction using the DIFFRAC algorithm) and analyzed using the DIFFRAC.EVA module of the DIFFRAC.SUITE by Bruker. Mineral phase identification was performed by comparing peak positions and relative intensities against powder diffraction databases using the Search/Match module. Reference patterns displayed in this study are PDF 86-0389 for mackinawite (Lennie et al., 1995), PDF 01-089-1998 for greigite (Skinner et al., 1964) and PDF 79-1928 for vivianite (Bartl, 1989). Neither pyrite nor marcasite were detected in any samples. Diffraction peaks were fitted with Lorentzian functions to determine their position and their full width at half maximum (FWHM). Unit cell parameters were calculated from d-spacings for mackinawite (tetragonal; \(a = b \neq c\)) in all experiments and for greigite (cubic; \(a = b = c\)) in biotic Fe experiments incubated for 5, 6, 9 and 11 months.

The average size of crystalline domains was estimated using the Scherrer equation as described in Wolthers et al. (2003):

\[ L = \frac{K \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \]

where \(L\) is the average size of the crystalline domain, \(K\) is the Scherrer constant (0.9), \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of the applied X-rays (0.15418 nm for Cu Kα), \(\beta\) is the FWHM of the peak, and \(\theta\) is the Theta-angle of the position of the peak. The Scherrer equation was applied to the (001) and (200) reflections of mackinawite to determine the length of the crystalline domain along the \(c\)-axis and along the \(a/b\)-axis, respectively. For greigite, the average \(L\) value was calculated from the (220), (400), (511) reflections.

2.6. Particle size analysis by dynamic light scattering

The particle size of mineral aggregates in suspension was estimated by dynamic light scattering using a DelsaTM NanoC particle analyzer (Beckman Coulter ®). Samples (1 ml) were transferred in the anaerobic chamber from serum vials directly to plastic cuvettes for analysis. The polydispersity index indicates that all samples are close to monodisperse samples. The result of particle size analysis is given as Z-average size or Cumulants average size after 70 accumulated measurements.

2.7. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (SEM-EDS)

Samples were imaged with a Supra 55VP field emission scanning electron microscope (Zeiss) operating at the Harvard Center for Nanoscale Systems. Secondary electron images were obtained at a voltage of 10 kV and a working distance of 3–4 mm using an Everhart–Thornley secondary electron detector or an InLens detector. Elemental analysis mapping was performed at 15 kV with a working distance of 8.5 mm using a silicon drift detector (EDAX). Data were processed using the software Genesis (EDAX).

2.8. Transmission electron microscopy

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed at the Harvard Center for Nanoscale Systems. A JEOL 2100 microscope operating at 200 kV and equipped with a Gatan Osiris digital camera was used to image mineral particles dried on TEM grids. Thin sections containing fixed cells and minerals (80-nm thickness) on TEM grids were imaged using an FEI Tecnai F20 field emission gun.
(FEG) microscope operating at 80 kV and equipped with Gatan Sirius CCD cameras.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Mineralogy of solid phase precipitates

After sulfide had been produced (biotic Fe) or added (abiotic Fe, biotic Fe - dead) in the medium, all Fe was recovered in the solid phase and excess free sulfide was present in the aqueous phase (Table 2, Fig. S2). The Fe:S ratios of the solid phases were slightly lower than 1 (Table 2, Fig. S2c). As the medium was buffered, the pH did not change appreciably – from 7.03 (initial pH of the medium) to 7.06 in biotic Fe experiments, 7.05 in biotic H_2S + Fe experiments, to 7.30 in abiotic Fe experiments, and to 7.37 in biotic Fe-dead experiments – over the course of the experiments (Table 2, Fig. S2d). Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to determine the bulk mineralogy of solid phase precipitates after incubation at 35 °C for up to 11 months (Fig. 2). Mackinawite (FeS) was the main iron sulfide mineral formed in all experiments after 1 week to 2 months (Fig. 2a and b). The initial cell concentration (low initial density vs. high initial density), and the rate of sulfide addition (slow vs. fast), did not influence the mineralogy of biotic Fe and abiotic Fe experiments, respectively, and neither did the presence of dead cells instead of live cells at the start of the experiment. Mackinawite was still the main mineral product after 5 months of incubation in all experiments (Fig. 2c), while greigite was detected only in biotic experiments that were incubated with live sulfate-reducing bacteria (Fig. 2c). Vivianite – a Fe(II) phosphate mineral – was detected in dead-control experiments independent of the rate of sulfide addition (Fig. 2). This could be explained by the fact that dead cells were incubated in the Fe medium for 2 weeks before sulfide was added to precipitate iron sulfide minerals. Vivianite also precipitated in some abiotic experiments after incubations of several months (Fig. 2b and c). As phosphate is consumed only by live bacteria, it is thus available in abiotic Fe and biotic Fe-dead experiments to precipitate with Fe(II) as vivianite.

3.2. Unit cell parameters and crystalline domain sizes of mackinawite and greigite

Unit cell parameters were calculated from lattice spacings derived from X-ray diffractograms (Table 3). Unit cell parameters of biotic mackinawite precipitated with live and dead SRB – a = b = 3.68 Å, c = 5.06 and 5.05 Å respectively – were similar to those reported by Lennie et al. (1995) for crystalline mackinawite. Abiotic mackinawite and mackinawite precipitated with biogenic sulfide (but without Fe binding to cells) displayed a slight elongation of the c-axis (a = b = 3.68 Å, c = 5.13 and 5.11 Å, respectively).

The broadening of X-ray diffraction peaks observed for the abiotic mackinawite and the mackinawite precipitated with biogenic sulfide is indicative of nanocrystalline material. The application of the Scherrer equation to determine crystalline domain sizes is valid for mackinawite.

Fig. 2. (A–C) X-ray diffractograms of mineral precipitates formed in biotic Fe (bio), biotic Fe-dead (bio dead), abiotic Fe (bio slow and fast) experiments. Bio H_2S + Fe experiments correspond to fully grown cultures to which Fe(II) was added after sulfide was produced, to test the effects of biogenic sulfide versus commercial sulfide. (B) Diffraction data for reference minerals mackinawite, greigite and vivianite. After 1 week (A) and after 2 months (B), mackinawite was the only iron sulfide mineral detected in all experiments. After 5 months (C) and longer, greigite was detected only in biotic experiments containing live bacterial cells. Vivianite formed in biotic Fe-dead experiments and some abiotic Fe experiments. The broadening of peaks in abiotic and bio H_2S + Fe experiments indicates smaller crystallite sizes for mackinawite than in biotic Fe experiments with live and dead cells.
Biotic minerals precipitated in the presence of live and dead cells had large crystallite sizes along the \(\alpha\) - and \(\beta\) -axis \((20.1 \pm 5.0 \text{ nm} \text{ and } 21.5 \pm 3.2 \text{ nm}, \text{ respectively})\) and the \(\gamma\) -axis \((13.8 \pm 4.8 \text{ nm} \text{ and } 18.5 \pm 7.7 \text{ nm}, \text{ respectively})\) (Table 3, Fig. 3a). Abiotic mackinawite had much smaller crystallite size, \(9.7 \pm 2.9 \text{ nm} \text{ and } 7.1 \pm 2.1 \text{ nm} \text{ along the } \alpha\text{-/}\beta\text{-axis and } \gamma\text{-axis, respectively} \) (Table 3, Fig. 3a). Mackinawite formed with biogenic sulfide by adding Fe(II) to a stationary-phase AM13 culture that had already produced sulfide (i.e. when cells were not in contact with Fe(II) before sulfide was produced) had a crystallite size \((6.4 \pm 2.1 \text{ nm} \times 6.4 \pm 2.1 \text{ nm} \times 3.8 \pm 0.9 \text{ nm})\) in the range of abiotic mackinawite (Table 3, Fig. 3a). This suggests that cell surfaces of live and dead bacteria are the factor that favors mackinawite growth.

Greigite was only detected in biotic experiments with live sulfate-reducing bacteria after 5 months of incubation at 35°C or more. Unit cell parameters \((a = b = c = 9.87 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å})\) calculated for greigite were similar to those reported by Lennie et al. (1997). The greigite crystalline domain size was \(19.2 \pm 2.6 \text{ nm} \text{, which is in the range of} \)
the biotic mackinawite, suggesting that the solid-state transformation of mackinawite to greigite occurs (Lennie et al., 1997).

3.3. Tendency of biotic mackinawite and greigite to aggregate

Despite having similar Fe:S ratios and formed at similar pH, the mineral precipitates formed under biotic and abiotic experiments had visually distinct bulk morphologies. After shaking the serum vials, which put the minerals back in suspension, biotic precipitates appeared less opaque (i.e. absorbed less light) than abiotic precipitates. Also, after the minerals settled back to the bottom of the vials, precipitates formed in biotic Fe experiments formed a sticky aggregate, while abiotic precipitates appeared finer and more homogeneously distributed (Fig. S3).

SEM and TEM imaging at various magnifications of washed and dried precipitates revealed differences in aggregation between biotic and abiotic mineral precipitates (Fig. 4, note, no cells can be seen in these SEM and TEM images because the sample preparation was not aimed at preserving cell morphology, see Section 3.4 for cell-mineral interactions). Precipitates in the biotic treatments aggregated more than the abiotic precipitates, and thus formed larger particles (Fig. 4 panels A, B, C and D for biotic vs. panels E, F, G and H for abiotic). This was confirmed by measurements of particle size by dynamic light scattering (Fig. 3b). Aggregates of biotic particles in solution were much larger (1354 ± 120 nm) than abiotic aggregates (428 ± 148 nm) (Fig. 3b). Aggregates precipitated with biogenic sulfide display sizes of abiotic aggregates (471 nm). The massive aggregation of biogenic iron sulfide minerals is consistent with observations of “sticky” mineral precipitates in the serum vials (Fig. S3). Boundaries of crystals can only be easily seen in TEM images of abiotic minerals (Fig. 4, panels C and D).

3.4. Epicellular and extracellular iron sulfide biomineralization

When samples from biotic Fe experiments were prepared for electron microscopy with a protocol that preserved microbial cell morphology, iron sulfide minerals were visibly extracellular in SEM images (Fig. 5), and cells appeared smooth with no visible encrustation (compare with inset in Fig. 5 that shows cells grown without Fe). However, using TEM, most cells in biotic experiments appeared heavily encrusted after 1 week of incubation (Fig. 6C–F). The encrustation was obvious when cells grown with Fe (Fig. 6C–F) were compared with cells grown without Fe (Fig. 6A and B). Large extracellular precipitates were also observed in TEM images, and some of those precipitates appeared as if cells had been flattened, either because of cell collapse due to cell lysis or during TEM sample preparation (Fig. 6C). Elemental mapping of thin sections revealed that mineral crusts and extracellular precipitates contained Fe and S (Fig. 6d); however, it was not possible to assign mineralogy at this scale. As XRD shows that the only crystalline phase was mackinawite after 1 week of incubation, it is reasonable to assume that epicellular and extracellular minerals were made of mackinawite. Heavy encrustation indicates that cell surfaces played a role in the nucleation and growth of iron sulfide minerals. Large extracellular precipitates suggest that extracellular substances produced by microorganisms could serve as templates as well.

Based on an average thickness of mineral crusts around cells of ~125 ± 31 nm after 1 week of incubation (as measured on TEM images, Fig. 6), an average Desulfovibrio cell...
surface of ~6 μm² (estimated with the approximation of cells being cylinder-shaped and measurements of cells from SEM images, e.g. from Fig. 5), and on a 2 × 2-nm mackinawite crystal containing 150 FeS molecules (Rickard, 2012a), we estimated that 7.0 × 10¹⁹ FeS molecules were contained in mineral crusts in a typical experiment of 50 ml (1.4 × 10¹⁰ FeS molecules with a cell concentration of ~10⁸ cells/ml) vs. 1.2 × 10²⁰ FeS molecules contained in the solid phase. Biogenic mineral crusts in a typical biotic experiment represented ~60% of the total amount of FeS found in the solid phase. Extracellular minerals, which represent 40% of the total amount of FeS, also contribute to the bulk characteristics of the minerals, and are potentially influenced by the organic substances released by metabolically active cells.

Biotic Fe-dead experiments revealed that gamma-irradiated cells also became encrusted in Fe-S minerals (Fig. 7). However, the aspect of encrusted dead cells differed from encrusted live cells (compare with Fig. 6). Cells appeared heavily altered, mostly flattened, and much smaller than encrusted live cells (Fig. 7A–C). In some instances, cells appeared to be still intact, but much smaller than live cells, and were filled with minerals (Fig. 7C). Small vesicle-like structures appeared encrusted, suggesting that cell debris could also play a role as a nucleation site for iron sulfide mineral formation (Fig. 7C). In those cases where the cell structure was still visible, mineral crusts appeared to detach from the cells (Fig. 7D). Finally, large mineral precipitates were observed without cells being visible in the vicinity (Fig. 7A–D). Although the mineralogy and crystal size of bulk minerals formed with dead cells were similar to those formed with live and metabolically active cells (Figs. 2 and 3), the preservation of the cell morphology was not good. Dead cell debris provide sites for the nucleation and growth of iron sulfide minerals with large sizes as both sides of the cell wall and membranes, as well as intracellular compounds, are available for Fe binding and subsequent iron sulfide mineral formation.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Sulfate-reducing microorganisms modify the physical characteristics of mackinawite

The role of SRM beyond providing sulfide to the Fe-S system has not been previously tested under strictly controlled experimental conditions. Mackinawite precipitates quickly from the reaction between Fe(II) and S(-II) in aqueous solutions (Lennie et al., 1995; Rickard, 1995; Wolthers et al., 2003), and forms both in the absence and in the presence of SRM (Fig. 2) (Rickard, 1969; Herbert Jr et al., 1998; Watson et al., 2000; Williams et al., 2005; Gramp et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2014). Stoichiometric mackinawite FeS has a tetragonal crystal structure (Berner, 1962; Wolthers et al., 2003; Rickard et al., 2006). Biotic mackinawite formed in our experiments had the unit cell parameters of well crystalline mackinawite reported by Lennie et al. (1995). Abiotic mackinawite and mackinawite precipitated with biogenic sulfide displayed a slight elongation in the c-axis. This phenomenon has already been reported for freshly precipitated abiotic nanocrystalline mackinawite (Wolthers et al., 2003; Jeong et al., 2008).
The crystalline domain size of abiotic mackinawite precipitated in a buffered marine medium in the presence of lactate (or lactate + acetate), as measured using the Scherrer equation, was in the range of abiotic mackinawite reported in other studies (Wolthers et al., 2003; Michel et al., 2005; Ohfuji and Rickard, 2006; Jeong et al., 2008). The crystallite size was unchanged by variations in the sulfide addition rate. In contrast, biotic mackinawite precipitated in the presence of live and dead sulfate-reducing bacteria was much larger, with mineral growth along the $a$- and $b$-axis and the $c$-axis (Fig. 3). In abiotic systems, nanocrystalline mackinawite grows through aggregation (Guilbaud et al., 2010). In the biotic systems of our study, microbial compounds of the cell surfaces and/or of the extracellular material potentially favors aggregation and growth of the mackinawite. Dead-control experiments highlight the importance of cell surface compounds for iron sulfide mineral formation, as dead cells cannot produce extracellular material. The consistently large crystallite sizes of biotic mackinawite, arguably constitutes a significant and unique characteristic of biologically influenced mackinawite (Fig. 4, Table 3). Similarly, mackinawite precipitated with the freshwater sulfate-reducing bacterium Desulfovibrio vulgaris in conditions comparable to our
study – initial pH, initial Fe(II) concentration, Fe:S ratio and incubation time – displayed large crystallite sizes between 12.2 and 23.8 nm along the c-axis (Zhou et al., 2014). A recent study of the Zn-S system showed that Desulfovibrio desulfuricans improved the crystallinity of ZnS, with biogenic ZnS ( sphalerite and wurtzite) in the range of 4–12 nm, while abiotic counterparts were in the range of 2–3 nm, independent of the sulfide addition rate (Xu et al., 2016).

In addition to being larger, biologically-influenced mackinawite showed a greater tendency to aggregate than abiotic mackinawite crystals. This is represented by an apparent “stickiness” of the iron sulfide precipitates observed at the bulk level in the serum vials (Fig. S2). The tendency of biogenic minerals to aggregate into larger masses was observed by electron microscopy (Fig. 4), where no clear grain boundaries could be observed in biogenic mineral assemblages that appeared very large. This is consistent with an earlier report that used TEM to study iron sulfide minerals in cultures of SRM of unknown origin (Watson et al., 2000). We corroborated SEM and TEM observations with dynamic light scattering measurements, which confirmed that biotic mineral particles were much larger than abiotic mineral particles, even when the latter were formed in the presence of the simple organic acids present in the culture media. We posit that this phenomenon could be caused by the presence of cell residues or extracellular cellular compounds associated with the mineral matrix (see Section 4.3).

Interestingly, other mineral systems influenced by microorganisms display different characteristics. For example, the diameter of silica particles precipitated near microbial cells was smaller than those of particles located away from cells (Ferris and Magalhaes, 2008). Similarly, Fe (III)-oxyhydroxides precipitated in the presence of microbial cells during Fe(II) oxidation by oxygen were smaller than their abiotic counterparts (Chatellier et al., 2001). In both these mineral systems, mineral precipitation begins with hydrolysis, while the precipitation of mackinawite is a result of the interaction between Fe^{2+} and H_{2}S/HS^{-}.

The binding of Fe^{2+} to microbial compounds before the precipitation of FeS seems to play an important role in determining the final properties and morphology of iron sulfide minerals (see Section 4.3).
4.2. Sulfate-reducing microorganisms accelerate the transformation of mackinawite to greigite under anoxic conditions and low-temperature conditions

Greigite was only detected after >5 months of incubation in the biotic Fe experiments. Greigite forms by a solid-state diffusional transformation of mackinawite, as suggested by a similar structural arrangement in both minerals (Yamaguchi and Katsuurai, 1960; Yamaguchi and Wada, 1972; Lennie et al., 1997; Posfai et al., 1998; Rickard, 2012a). The transformation of tetragonal mackinawite to cubic greigite is assumed to be by outward diffusion of Fe and a re-arrangement of Fe atoms in a closely packed array of S-atoms. This is caused by a partial oxidation of Fe$^{II}$ to Fe$^{III}$, leading to the formation of the intermediate Fe$^{III}$-containing mackinawite (Bourdais et al., 2011), and eventually to the end-member mixed-valence mineral Fe$^{III}_{2}$Fe$^{II}_{3}$S$_{4}$ (Posfai et al., 1998). The transformation is believed to be sluggish because of the slow diffusion of Fe ions in the solid state. This formation pathway is supported for the biotic greigite formed in this study as its average crystallite size was in the range of the crystallite size measured for biotic mackinawite. As conditions in our study were strictly anoxic and greigite formed only in the presence of live bacteria, the partial oxidation of Fe$^{II}$ is speculatively attributed to metabolic activity. After 11 months of incubation, relics of mackinawite were still detected using XRD, indicating that the transformation was not yet complete.

Greigite has also been reported to form in abiotic experiments (e.g. Rickard, 1969; Gramp et al., 2010). The presence of small amounts of oxygen is enough to cause the transformation abiotically (Csakberenyi-Malasics et al., 2012). Therefore, the maintenance of anoxic conditions during experimental work and sample preparation is crucial to clearly determine what triggers the transformation of mackinawite to greigite and if microorganisms potentially play a role in the transformation under fully anoxic conditions. In the present study, anoxic conditions were maintained from the start of the experiments until the rapid transfer to the analytical instruments, and abiotic samples were prepared at the same time as the respective biotic samples. Pyrite formation is inhibited when aldehydic carbonyl groups are added to an abiotic reaction mix at high temperature (40–100 °C); instead greigite formation takes place, suggesting that the presence of organic substances can direct mineralogy under certain conditions (Rickard et al., 2001). The effect of live sulfate-reducing bacteria in our experimental system at low temperature and under strict anoxic conditions is to accelerate the formation of greigite.

4.3. Sulfate-reducing bacteria act as templates for the binding of Fe$^{II}$ and for the nucleation and growth of iron sulfide minerals

Ferris et al. (1987) proposed that the surface of microorganisms may provide templates for the binding of Fe$^{II}$ and for the subsequent nucleation and growth of iron sulfide minerals, based on observations of microbial cells encrusted in iron sulfide minerals in the environment. Here, we experimentally demonstrate that this mechanism applies to sulfate-reducing bacteria and that it is responsible for providing specific properties to mackinawite and greigite. Our data revealed that iron sulfide minerals exhibited large sizes and a strong tendency to aggregate when cell surfaces of live and dead bacteria were available in the Fe medium before sulfide was produced or added, respectively. In contrast, when mackinawite was precipitated by adding Fe(II) to a live culture of Desulfovibrio hydrothermalis AM13 containing biogenic sulfide and cells, it did not exhibit the properties of biogenic mackinawite, but rather those of abiotic mackinawite. This suggests that the growth of biologically-influenced mackinawite is favored by cell surfaces when nucleation of the iron sulfide minerals has occurred at the cell surface.

Cell surfaces of gram-negative bacteria, like Desulfovibrio hydrothermalis AM13, consist of a thin cell wall (peptidoglycan) that is located between the cytoplasmic membrane and the outer membrane (Beveridge and Koval, 1981). Structural components of the lipopolysaccharide, that forms the outer face of the outer membrane, and of the peptidoglycan, exhibit functional groups that become deprotonated at neutral pH, and thus bear a negative charge. These anionic sites, mainly contained in carboxyl and phosphate functional groups, can bind cations, including Fe$^{II}$ and Fe$^{III}$ (Hoyle and Beveridge, 1983; Hoyle and Beveridge, 1984). Binding is believed to occur in two steps: cations first bind to the anionic sites stoichiometrically, then cations accumulate non-stoichiometrically on the bound cations and on minerals that will eventually precipitate (Beveridge and Murray, 1976). Due to the reactivity of Fe, Fe-minerals can thus precipitate in significant amounts at the surface of microbial cells, either as Fe(III) oxyhydroxides in the presence of oxygen or as Fe(II) sulfide minerals under anoxic conditions (Beveridge et al., 1983; Beveridge, 1989). In the present study, cells initially present in the medium could bind Fe$^{II}$ before the production of sulfide. As sulfide started to diffuse out of the cells, iron sulfide minerals could precipitate at the surface of cells. As new cells were produced, new binding sites became available to bind Fe$^{II}$. Newly formed iron sulfide minerals could also provide binding sites for Fe$^{II}$ (Hoyle and Beveridge, 1984). After one week, microbial cells were heavily encrusted (Fig. 6C–F). The progressive growth of the mineral crust at the surface of the cells did not seem to inhibit the sulfate-reducing activity; as the sulfide production reached similar levels to cells grown without Fe. Thus, mackinawite growth, rather than new nucleation, is favored in the system.

Mackinawite associated with cell surfaces as crusts represented ~60% of the minerals precipitated in the culture, while the remaining 40% are extracellular (Figs. 4–7). The large crystallite size of mackinawite estimated from XRD data thus reflects an average size of both mineral morphologies. Nonetheless, we conclude that both morphologies contribute to the large crystallite size, otherwise the range of crystallite sizes of the biotic mackinawite would be much larger than what we report in this study and would overlap with crystallite sizes of abiotic mackinawite (Fig. 3). Microorganisms produce extracellular polymeric sub-
stances (EPS) that can be closely associated with cells (capsules or S-layers) or that can be shed and released in the environment (Ferris and Beveridge, 1985). EPS contain variable amounts of polysaccharides, proteins, lipopolysaccharides, as well as nucleic acids; (Beveridge, 1989; Decho, 2010), and are produced by SRM (Zinkevich et al., 1996). All those molecules exhibit negatively charged functional groups to which metallic cations can bind as well (e.g. Geesey and Jang, 1989). Among sulfate-reducing bacteria, Desulfovibrio produce EPS polysaccharides that can strongly bind Fe (Beech et al., 1999). EPS produced by sulfate-reducing bacteria of the genus Desulfovibrio also have Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding and buffering capacities provided by functional groups (carboxylic acids, sulfur-containing and amino groups) that can influence carbonate mineral precipitation (Brassant et al., 2007). Additional work using high-resolution imaging methods would be required to determine if mineral growth is oriented in comparison to cellular components.

Encrustation was also observed around dead cells (Fig. 7). Gamma-irradiated cells were not as well preserved as in the biotic experiments, as they appeared smaller, deformed and/or flattened, but the templating capacity seemed to be available not only on the outside of the cells, but also on the inside of cells, as well as on both faces of cell debris (Fig. 7). Cells that lysed or broke open potentially provided additional binding sites for Fe\(^{2+}\) and could subsequently precipitate more iron sulfide minerals. The gamma-irradiation of Bacillus subtilis could bind more metal cations (UO\(^{2+}\) and Sc\(^{3+}\)) than live cells, as the suppression of proton transport in the inactive membranes provided more sites available for metal binding than metabolically active membranes (Urrutia Mera et al., 1992). The production of EPS would stop in gamma-irradiated cells, but more surface area would be available for binding of Fe and iron sulfide mineral nucleation and growth due to cell disruption.

Cellular encrustations and associations between extracellular polymers and minerals can lead to the preservation of microbial organic compounds over long periods of time, a mechanism that has been reported for mineral systems, such as Fe(III) oxyhydroxides or Mn(IV) oxides (e.g. Chan et al., 2009, 2011; Miot et al., 2011; Picard et al., 2010), and are produced by SRM (Zinkevich et al., 1996). Among sulfate-reducing bacteria, Desulfovibrio produce EPS polysaccharides that can strongly bind Fe (Beech et al., 1999). EPS produced by sulfate-reducing bacteria of the genus Desulfovibrio also have Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding and buffering capacities provided by functional groups (carboxylic acids, sulfur-containing and amino groups) that can influence carbonate mineral precipitation (Brassant et al., 2007). Additional work using high-resolution imaging methods would be required to determine if mineral growth is oriented in comparison to cellular components.

Encrustation was also observed around dead cells (Fig. 7). Gamma-irradiated cells were not as well preserved as in the biotic experiments, as they appeared smaller, deformed and/or flattened, but the templating capacity seemed to be available not only on the outside of the cells, but also on the inside of cells, as well as on both faces of cell debris (Fig. 7). Cells that lysed or broke open potentially provided additional binding sites for Fe\(^{2+}\) and could subsequently precipitate more iron sulfide minerals. The gamma-irradiation of Bacillus subtilis could bind more metal cations (UO\(^{2+}\) and Sc\(^{3+}\)) than live cells, as the suppression of proton transport in the inactive membranes provided more sites available for metal binding than metabolically active membranes (Urrutia Mera et al., 1992). The production of EPS would stop in gamma-irradiated cells, but more surface area would be available for binding of Fe and iron sulfide mineral nucleation and growth due to cell disruption.

Cellular encrustations and associations between extracellular polymers and minerals can lead to the preservation of microbial organic compounds over long periods of time, a mechanism that has been reported for mineral systems, such as Fe(III) oxyhydroxides or Mn(IV) oxides (e.g. Chan et al., 2009, 2011; Miot et al., 2011; Picard et al., 2015, 2016b; Estes et al., 2017), but not yet for iron sulfide minerals.

### 4.4. Where is the pyrite?

Pyrite did not form in any of our experimental conditions. However, pyrite should form under the experimental conditions of this study (anoxic conditions, pH ~7, 35 °C), following the H\(_2\)S pathway (Rickard and Luther, 1997). For both the biotic and abiotic conditions, the rates for the H\(_2\)S pathway were calculated for the total produced in one month. H\(_2\)S/HS\(^{-}\) concentrations were calculated based on the spectrophotometry results, and the H\(_2\)S/HS\(^{-}\) ratios were calculated based on the pH. For the H\(_2\)S pathway rate calculations, all the Fe was assumed to be present as FeS (Rickard et al., 2006) and H\(_2\)S/HS\(^{-}\) calculations were performed on the total H\(_2\)S/HS\(^{-}\) remaining after subtracting the sulfur allocated to FeS (see Table 2). After 5 months of incubation, a total of ~1.55 and ~1.875 mmol of pyrite should have been produced per experiment (50 ml) in biotic and abiotic conditions, respectively. This corresponds to ~0.2 g of pyrite, which should have been detectible with XRD. Pyrite formation occurs in modern sedimentary environments, and possibly over relatively short timescales in some environments (e.g. Howarth, 1979). Therefore, we are still missing critical parameters in our experiments to make them environmentally relevant. Pyrite formation is potentially correlated with the presence of other metabolic pathways that oxidize sulfide to intermediate oxidation states of sulfur (Zopfi et al., 2004). Indeed, pyrite formation was detected in cultures of sulfur-disproportionating bacteria (Thamdrup et al., 1993; Canfield et al., 1998). Additionally, microbial transformations of Fe, Fe(III) reduction and Fe(II) oxidation, would allow dissolution of Fe minerals, providing various and potentially continuous sources of Fe for the precipitation of iron sulfide minerals. Potentially, consortia of microorganisms might be necessary for the formation of pyrite, as pyrite formation does not seem to occur in the presence of SRM alone under anoxic conditions over short time scales.

The pathway most favored for pyrite formation in our experimental conditions is the H\(_2\)S pathway, which involves dissolution of mackinawite in the presence of excess free sulfide at pH < 7, followed by the reprecipitation of pyrite (Rickard and Luther, 1997; Rickard et al., 2007). It is therefore unknown whether the physical characteristics of biogenic mackinawite could be preserved after transformations to newly precipitated phases, leading to the preservation of biomorphic features in the sedimentary record (Schieber, 2002; Cosmidis et al., 2013). The modified characteristics of biotic mackinawite and greigite will likely affect their reactivity, therefore impacting their solubility for further transformations, including the formation of pyrite. Potentially, remains of original mineral phases might be preserved if those biotic phases appear less soluble or less reactive.

In investigations of pyrite formation in mine tailings, Ferris et al. (1987) noted the presence of microbial cells encrusted in iron sulfide minerals in lake sediments contaminated by metal mine waste and proposed that microbial cells in those environments might provide templates for the nucleation and growth of iron sulfide minerals. Studies of mine tailings revealed active microbial populations that oxidize sulfide minerals originating from the ore minerals, resulting in the depletion of oxygen and the production of sulfate that allow the development of large populations of SRM (Fortin et al., 1995, 1996; Fortin and Beveridge, 1997). The presence of SRM was correlated in those sediments with the enrichment of pyrite and reactive iron, as well as the decrease in sulfate concentrations, suggesting that microbial sulfate reduction was linked to the formation of metastable iron sulfide minerals as well as pyrite (Fortin and Beveridge, 1997). Additionally, microbial cells in the anoxic part of the sediments were associated with epacellular and extracellular iron sulfide minerals (Fortin et al., 1995; Fortin and Beveridge, 1997). However, as noted by
the authors of these studies, it is difficult to establish the role of SRM in the formation of new sedimentary iron sulfide minerals, because of the abundance of residual ore sulfide minerals in mine tailings (Fortin et al., 2000). So far, no such studies exist in marine or freshwater sediments, and would be required to identify the microorganisms responsible for pyrite formation.

4.5. Relevance to natural environments

Our experimental conditions do not reflect natural conditions. Mackinawite formed in our experiments because of our experimental design. High concentrations of sulfide and soluble Fe(II) exceed the solubility product of mackinawite. The relevance of mackinawite to modern marine environments has been questioned, because the low Fe concentrations in seawater and sediment porewaters limits mackinawite precipitation in normal marine sediments. However, our study was aimed at testing the hypothesis that SRM provide more than sulfide to the Fe-S system. Using higher concentrations of reactants than are found in typical sediments allowed us to perform a variety of analyses, including bulk mineralogical characterization using powder XRD. When using environmentally relevant concentrations of reactants, high-resolution methods will be required for all analyses, decreasing the throughput of experimental conditions tested. More studies will be needed to understand the exact role of SRM and other microorganisms in the formation of iron sulfide minerals. Future studies will test the microbial controls on iron sulfide mineral over a range of sulfate, Fe and organic carbon concentrations, and the role of specific organic carbon functional groups. Low sulfate concentrations are relevant to understand mineral formation in freshwater environments, but also to assess how important microbial sulfate reduction would have been for the ancient sulfur cycle, as sulfate concentrations were very low (Canfield, 2004). Although microbial Fe(III) reduction produces Fe(II) in sedimentary environments, soluble Fe(II) concentrations rarely reach millimolar levels in porewaters. The availability of Fe for the formation of iron sulfide minerals in sedimentary environments depends on the source of Fe (Canfield et al., 1992; Raiswell et al., 1994; Raiswell and Canfield, 1998). Therefore, experimental work should build up on these earlier studies and test the reactivity of various iron minerals, including biogenic iron oxides, to biogenic sulfide in various conditions. Finally, organic carbon sources and concentrations control the rates of microbial sulfate reduction. Although changing the rate of sulfide addition in abiotic experiments did not influence the mineralogy and crystal size, low organic carbon concentrations might favor pyrite formation as sulfide will be released in very small quantities, therefore avoiding precipitation in other phases. Future investigations will also be aimed at further characterizing the role of organic matter, as well as specific functional groups in microbial cellular components, in the formation and the transformations of iron sulfide minerals, as well as the association of organic matter with iron sulfide minerals in the environment.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Sulfate-reducing microorganisms (SRM) influence the formation of the metastable iron sulfide minerals mackinawite and greigite. The presence of SRM results in the formation of larger mackinawite particles that aggregate more than the nanocrystalline abiotic mackinawite. Cellular surfaces of live and dead SRM and extracellular substances produced by live SRM act as templates for the nucleation of iron sulfide minerals and favor mineral growth. More than half of the minerals formed in the presence of live SRM occurs at the surface of cells, while the rest is likely associated with extracellular compounds. Different mineral morphologies are observed when minerals precipitate in the presence of dead SRM. Live SRM also accelerate the transformation of mackinawite to greigite. The modification of physical properties will potentially impact the composition, solubility and reactivity of the iron sulfide minerals formed in the presence of SRM, and might challenge our understanding of further transformations in the biogeochemical cycles of Fe, S and C, including, providing a potential way to preserve organic carbon under anoxic conditions. Future studies will continue to increase the relevance of experiments to environmental conditions, and emphasize modern, high-resolution methods to determine the mineralogy, morphology, composition and properties of microbially influenced iron sulfide minerals at the sub-micron level.
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Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.10.006.
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